

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,184	07/16/2001	Hans Albrecht Schmid	A-7498	3064
20741	7590 05/13/2005		EXAMINER	
	N WASSON & GITLEI	BATURAY, ALICIA		
CRYSTAL CENTER 2, SUITE 522 2461 SOUTH CLARK STREET ARLINGTON, VA 22202-3843			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/200	<

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/905,184	SCHMID, HANS ALBRECHT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alicia Baturay	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Fe</u>					
· —	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a)	oxtimes accepted or b) $oxtimes$ objected to t				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the	$\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obtaining. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example of the correct of the corre	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is obtaining. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath of	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obtaining. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) is have been received.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.  )-(d) or (f).			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) is have been received.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.  )-(d) or (f). on No. 09/905,184.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is obtainer. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) s have been received. s have been received in Application of the priority documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.  )-(d) or (f).  on No. 09/905,184. ed in this National Stage			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is obtainer. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) s have been received. s have been received in Application of the priority documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.  )-(d) or (f).  on No. 09/905,184. ed in this National Stage			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is obtainer. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) s have been received. s have been received in Application of the priority documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.  )-(d) or (f).  on No. 09/905,184. ed in this National Stage			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is obtainer. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) s have been received. s have been received in Application of the priority documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.  )-(d) or (f).  on No. 09/905,184. ed in this National Stage			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is obtaining. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) shave been received. In a physical and the priority documents have been received in a physical and (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Of the certified copies not received.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.  )-(d) or (f).  on No. 09/905,184. ed in this National Stage			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is obtaining. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) shave been received. If he have been received in Application of the certified copies not received.  4) □ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Directions.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.  )-(d) or (f).  on No. 09/905,184. ed in this National Stage ed.  (PTO-413) ate			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is obtaining. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) shave been received. If he have been received in Application of the certified copies not received.  4) □ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Directions.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.  )-(d) or (f).  on No. 09/905,184. ed in this National Stage ed.  (PTO-413)			

PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04

Art Unit: 2155

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 08 February 2005.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 8, and 9 were amended.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are pending in this Office Action.

## Response to Amendment

- 4. The objection to the drawings is withdrawn.
- 5. The objection to the specification is withdrawn.
- 6. The objections to claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 9, and 11 were addressed and are withdrawn.
- 7. The objection to claims 10-11 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is withdrawn.
- 8. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant Argues: Applicant states "The application discloses and claims a local and remote gate-making part of each component. Slaughter, however, does not disclose such an arrangement."

In response: The examiner respectfully submits that Slaughter teaches "As illustrated in Fig. 7, clients and services may or may not reside in the same network device (Slaughter, Fig. 7; col. 17, lines 1-5)" and that "the service may...construct a service gate for...message communication with the client (Slaughter, col. 10, lines 5-6)." If the client

Art Unit: 2155

Page 3

and service were present on the same device (Slaughter, Fig. 7, element 122), then the

service would create a local gate. Slaughter also discloses that "a service may have a

...method gate (Slaughter, col. 30, lines 13-16)," which is a type of message gate that

supports "a form of remote method invocation (Slaughter, col. 29, 57-59)." This shows

that Slaughter's service supports the generation of remote gates.

10. Applicant Argues: Applicant states "the Examiner referred to column 29, lines 57-59 of

Slaughter for disclosing the recited feature of "the client accessing a remote gate of the

component, when the component is a remote component." However the component

referred to...refers to a remote gate of the client, not a remote gate of the component."

In response: The examiner respectfully submits that Slaughter teaches in column 30,

lines 13-16 that "a service may have a corresponding method gate," which would be the

remote gate of the component. See above explanation regarding service generation of

remote gates for further clarification.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 2. Claims 1-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Slaughter et al. (U.S. 6,789,077).
- 3. As to claim 1, Slaughter discloses a process for operating a distributed computer network comprising a plurality of distributed computers (Slaughter, col. 1, lines 25-26), on one of the computers there being at least one component of a computer program (Slaughter, col. 6, lines 46-48), a component which can run on the microprocessor of the computer (Slaughter, col. 89, line 21), and to operate the computer the at least one component being accessed from a collocated client or a remote client (Slaughter, col. 31, lines 20-22), the at least one component is accessed from the collocated client via a local gate (Slaughter, col. 26, lines 52-55) of the at least one component if the collocated client is filed on the same computer and runs within the same execution environment as the at least one component (Slaughter, col. 54, lines 49-50), and otherwise the at least one component is accessed from the remote client via a remote gate of the at least one component (Slaughter, col. 29, lines 57-59).

- 4. As to claim 2, Slaughter discloses a process for operating the computer of a distributed computer network comprising the computer and a plurality of distributed computers (Slaughter, col. 1, lines 25-26), on the computer there being at least one component of a computer program (Slaughter, col. 6, lines 46-48), a component which can run on the microprocessor of the computer (Slaughter, col. 89, line 20), and to operate the computer the at least one component is accessed from a collocated client or a remote client (Slaughter, col. 31, lines 20-22), where the at least one component is accessed from the collocated client via a local gate (Slaughter, col. 26, lines 52-55) of the at least one component, if the at least one component is filed on the same computer and runs within the same execution environment as the collocated client (Slaughter, col. 54, lines 49-50), and otherwise the at least one component is accessed from the remote client, via a remote gate of the at least one component (Slaughter, col. 29, lines 57-59).
- 5. As to claim 3, Slaughter discloses the invention substantially as described in claim 1, including where from the at least one component, at least one other component (Slaughter, col. 6, lines 46-48) is accessed via a local gate of the at least one other component, if the at least one other component is filed on the same computer and runs within the same execution environment as the at least one component (Slaughter, col. 26, lines 52-55) and otherwise from the at least one component, the at least one other component is accessed via a remote gate, of the at least one other component (Slaughter, col. 26, lines 52-56).

- 6. As to claim 4, Slaughter discloses the invention substantially as described in claim 1, including where the remote gate of the at least one component is accessed via a proxy, the proxy implementing the same interface as the local gate (Slaughter, col. 31, lines 17-22).
- 7. As to claim 5, Slaughter discloses the invention substantially as described in claim 3, including where the remote gate of the at least one component, is used for transformation of a parameter or a result when services or functionalities of the at least one component have parameters or results which themselves represent a reference to the at least one other component and the at least one other component is located locally with respect to the at least one component, but remotely with respect to the client (Slaughter, col. 30, lines 25-39).
- 8. As to claim 6, Slaughter discloses the invention substantially as described in claim 4, including where the proxy is used for transformation of a parameter or a result when services or functionalities of the at least one component have parameters or results (Slaughter, col. 30, lines 30-39) which themselves represent a reference to another proxy and the at least one other component (Slaughter, col. 2, lines 48-49), is located remotely with reference to the at least one component (Slaughter, col. 3, lines 6-9), but collocated with reference to the client (Slaughter, col. 54, lines 49-50).
- 9. As to claim 7, Slaughter discloses the invention substantially as described in claim 1, including where to access the at least one component, first a local naming and directory service is accessed and from it a reference to the at least one component to be invoked is

transferred (Slaughter, col. 45, lines 48-59), the reference referring to a local gate of the at least one component if the at least one component to be invoked is a collocated component (Slaughter, col. 54, lines 49-50), and the reference refers via a proxy to a remote gate of the at least one component if the at least one component to be invoked is a remote component (Slaughter, col. 29, lines 15-20).

10. As to claim 8, Slaughter discloses the invention substantially as described in claim 7, including where to access the at least one component, first the local naming and directory service is accessed (Slaughter, col. 45, lines 48-59) and from it a reference to a factory (19) of the at least one component to be invoked is transferred (Slaughter, col. 9, line 57-col. 10, line 6), the reference referring to the local gate of the factory if the factory and the at least one component to be invoked are collocated (Slaughter, col. 22, lines 24-29), and the reference is packed into a proxy that refers to the remote gate of the factory when the factory and the at least one component to be invoked are remote (Slaughter, col. 29, lines 15-20), and another reference to the at least one component to be invoked is transferred by the factory, the at least one other reference referring to a local gate of the at least one component, if the factory and the at least one component to be invoked are collocated (Slaughter, col. 54, lines 49-50), and the other reference is packed into a proxy, that refers to a remote gate, of the at least one component if the factory and the at least one component to be invoked are remote (Slaughter, col. 29, lines 15-20).

- 11. As to claim 9, Slaughter discloses a computer program which can run on a microprocessors of a plurality of computers of a distributed computer network (Slaughter, col. 1, lines 25-26), comprising at least one component (Slaughter, col. 6, lines 46-48), with at least one gate for accessing the at least one component (Slaughter, col. 26, lines 52-55), from a collocated client, which is filed on the same computer, and runs within the same execution environment as the at least one component (Slaughter, col. 54, lines 49-50), or from a remote client, which is filed on another computer and runs within an execution environment other than the at least one component (Slaughter, col. 29, lines 57-59), where the at least one component has a local gate for access to the at least one component from the collocated client and a remote gate for access to the at least one component from the remote client (Slaughter, col. 31, lines 20-22).
- 12. As to claim 10, Slaughter teaches a storage element, selected from a read-only memory, a random access memory, or a flash memory for a computer of a distributed computer network (Slaughter, col. 3, lines 64-66) on which at least one component of a computer program (Slaughter, col. 7, lines 50-53; col. 8, lines 3-5), which can run on the microprocessors of the computer, of the computer network is stored (Slaughter, col. 89, line 20), the at least one component having at least one gate (Slaughter, col. 26, lines 52-55) for accessing the at least one component from a collocated client which is filed on the same computer and runs within the same execution environment as the at least one component (Slaughter, col. 54, lines 49-50), or from a remote client which is filed on another computer and runs within an execution environment other than the at least one component (Slaughter, col. 29, lines 57-59), where the at least one component has a local gate for access to the at least one component from the

collocated client and a remote gate for access to the at least one component from the remote client (Slaughter, col. 31, lines 20-22).

- 13. As to claim 11, Slaughter teaches a computer of a distributed computer network (Slaughter, col. 1, lines 25-26) with a storage element, selected from a read-only memory, a random access memory, or a flash memory (Slaughter, col. 3, lines 64-66) on which at least one component of a computer program (Slaughter, col. 7, line 50-53, col. 8, lines 3-5) which can run on the microprocessors of the computers of the computer network is stored (Slaughter, col. 89, line 20), the at least one component having at least one gate (Slaughter, col. 26, lines 52-55) for accessing the at least one component from a collocated client which is filed on the same computer and runs within the same execution environment as the at least one component (Slaughter, col. 54, lines 49-50), or from a remote client which is filed on another computer and runs within an execution environment other than the at least one component (Slaughter, col. 29, lines 57-59), where the at least one component has a local gate for access to the at least one component from the collocated client and a remote gate for access to the at least one component from the remote client (Slaughter, col. 31, lines 20-22).
- 14. As to claim 12, Slaughter teaches a distributed computer network comprising several computers with one storage element each (Slaughter, col. 1, lines 25-26), selected from a read-only memory, a random access memory, or a flash memory (Slaughter, col. 3, lines 64-66) on which at least one component of a computer program (Slaughter, col. 7, line 50-53; col. 8, lines 3-5) which can run on the microprocessors of the computers of the computer

Art Unit: 2155

Page 10

network is stored (Slaughter, col. 89, line 20), the at least one component having at least one gate (Slaughter, col. 26, lines 52-55) for accessing the at least one component from a collocated client which is filed on the same computer and runs within the same execution environment as the at least one component (Slaughter, col. 54, lines 49-50), or from a remote client which is filed on another computer and runs within an execution environment other than the at least one component (Slaughter, col. 29, lines 57-59), where the at least one component has a local gate for access to the at least one component from the collocated client and a remote gate for access to the at least one component from the remote client (Slaughter, col. 31, lines 20-22).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Baturay whose telephone number is (571) 272-3981. The examiner can normally be reached at 7:30am - 5pm, Monday - Thursday, and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571)272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2155

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alicia Baturay May 11, 2005

ARTO ETIENNE V
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 12